

## **Readoption Review**

### **Reporting, Monitoring, and Preventative Procedures for Lead Poisoning 410 IAC 29-1; 2; 3; 4**

IC 4-22-2.5-3.1(c) requires an agency to conduct a review to consider whether there are alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the rule that are less costly or less intrusive, or that would minimize the economic impact of the proposed rule on small business.

#### **Description of Rule:**

This rule provides for the case management of lead poisoned children, the reporting requirements of blood lead test results, the prevention of lead poisoning, and the remediation requirements of identified lead hazards where elevated children reside.

#### **Readoption Analysis:**

- 1) **Is there a continued need for this rule?**  
Yes because the predominate purpose and effect of the rule is to address matters of health and safety by helping to prevent childhood lead poisoning.
- 2) **What is the nature of any complaints or comments received from the public, including small business, concerning the rule or the implementation of the rule by the agency?**  
The Indiana State Department of Health has not received any complaints or comments about the implementation of this rule.
- 3) **Examine the complexity of the rule, including difficulties encountered by the agency in administering the rule and small businesses in complying with the rule.**  
This rule is not complex. The agency has not encountered any difficulties administering it and small businesses have not had difficulties complying with it.
- 4) **To what extent does the rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other federal, state, or local laws, rules, regulations, or ordinances?**  
This rule follows the guidance set forth by CDC with regards to the management of lead poisoned children. It does not overlap or conflict with other federal, state or local laws, rules, regulations or ordinances.
- 5) **When was the last time the rule was reviewed under this section or otherwise evaluated by the agency, and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by this rule since that time?**  
The rule was last reviewed in 2007 and factors have not changed since that time.